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What is the Shanghai Upgrade?
EIP-4895 is the key upgrade of Shanghai...
...and will enable withdrawals of staked ETH

A brief history lesson


- The Beacon Chain introduced staking to Ethereum. However, it remained a one-way street i.e. validators could deposit ETH to the Beacon Chain, but not withdraw it.

- EIP-4895, which is the headline improvement within the Shanghai Upgrade, will finally allow validators to withdraw their ETH and any accrued staking rewards.
So, how much ETH has been staked?
Over 16.5M ETH has been staked via 520K+ validators

Total staked ETH & total validators have been on a steady rise

- Since the launch of the Beacon Chain in late 2020, over 16.5M ETH (worth over US$25B as of Feb-23) has been staked.
- Approximately 520K validators have also spun up to facilitate this staking. Remember it takes 32 ETH to initially set up a validator.
- We should note that while there are over 520K unique consensus validators in Ethereum PoS, **operators of these nodes can host and maintain multiple validators**. Even solo-stakers are able to operate multiple validators. Thus, this number does not represent the number of unique validating entities - we look further at this in the next page.

Source: Dune Analytics, Binance Research. Data as of 14 Feb 2023.
Where is it staked?

Liquid staking provider, Lido, leads the pack

Lido is the largest player in the market, accounting for nearly 5B staked ETH and 29.2% of the market. Lido is a liquid staking provider and provides the stETH token to users to represent staked ETH on its platform. stETH has largely traded in-line with ETH over the last few months and provides users with liquidity (for DeFi etc.) for their staked ETH.

The top exchanges in the staking market are Coinbase, Kraken and Binance, accounting for over 4B ETH and ~26% of the market. Within this group, Coinbase and Binance provide users with liquidity via their cbETH and bETH derivatives.

Nearly 25% of the market is also held by solo / unidentified stakers. These might be individuals running nodes from their homes or smaller groups who are running private staking pools, for example.
Zooming in on liquid staking...
A potential barrier against sell pressure?

Over 57% of staked ETH is already liquid

- Liquid Staking
- "Illiquid" Staking

- When we think we about liquid staking, not only are we considering the pure-play providers like Lido and Rocket Pool, but also the ETH staked by some of the major exchanges including Binance and Coinbase. For Lido and Rocket Pool, users receive stETH and rETH, while Binance and Coinbase provide users with BETH and cbETH. All of these tokens, while subtly differing in some of their mechanics, are tokenized representations of staked ETH and have traded close/or at 1:1 for a long time.

- The point being that 57% of ETH stakers have been able to access liquidity, with both their principal and rewards, for many months already. In some ways, we can almost not worry about this group of users as they have no real reason to sell off after the Shanghai Upgrade.

What about the others?
Who are the “illiquid” stakers?

**Mostly illiquid**

1. **Solo stakers**
   - Requires at least 32 ETH and a dedicated setup with a 24/7 Internet connection
   - Completely trustless and user maintains complete control of the keys

2. **“SaaS” stakers**
   - Requires at least 32 ETH
   - Third-party node operators will handle your validator
   - Requires some trust in the provider. Can limit this by keeping hold of your withdrawal keys.

3. **Pooled stakers**
   - No minimum ETH requirement
   - Pooling is not native to Ethereum, so is handled by third-parties e.g. Lido
   - You are likely to get a liquid token that should track ETH e.g. stETH

4. **CEX stakers**
   - No minimum ETH requirement
   - Ideal for users not comfortable in holding their own keys
   - You are likely to get a liquid token that should track ETH e.g. BETH

**Mostly liquid e.g. Lido, Binance, Coinbase etc.**
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- So the question is, **are the “illiquid” stakers** i.e. those that have not received a liquid staking derivative and thus been unable to access liquidity for their staked ETH, likely to sell their ETH as soon as it is unlocked?

  - Of course, this is not a simple question to answer and one we will also consider on the next page. But thinking holistically, **who are the people running ETH validators at home?** One could call many of these group, “ETH-maxis”...you tell me, **is this group rushing to sell ETH at US$1,600?**
When did this ETH get staked?
How many stakers are in profit?

Source: Dune Analytics, Binance Research. Data as of 13 Feb 2023

- Only 31% of ETH stakers are in profit

- The majority of ETH stakers are underwater and have little financial incentive to sell at the current ETH price (at least on a purely mathematical basis).

- We note a sizeable amount of ETH (around 2M) was staked at prices in the US$400 - 700 range - this represents the earliest stakers in Dec 2020 - a group that is likely illiquid given that liquid staking was far less known at the time.

- While this is a considerable chunk of staked ETH and is currently at profit, given these were the earliest stakers in the market, we can imagine these are some of the strongest Ethereum believers.
How will the withdrawal process work?
A queue system designed to keep price volatility in check

There are two types of withdrawals

- **Partial withdrawals**: referring to withdrawing any earned staking rewards in excess of the initial 32 ETH staked on the Beacon Chain. The user will continue to be a validator.
  - The first step of withdrawals would be to set up a “withdrawal credential”. This is a one-time process and will allow partial withdrawals to happen automatically. These will happen in a round-robin format, averaging 1 sweep per week.
  - Total ETH staking rewards amount to \(~1.03\text{M ETH}\) or US$1.6B of max sell-pressure at current prices (US$1,560). Given these will be spread out over days (or even weeks) and also considering ETH’s daily volume close to US$8-10B, these partial withdrawals are unlikely to substantially affect the price of ETH.

- **Full withdrawals**: referring to the withdrawal of the entire balance i.e. 32 ETH principle and any rewards. The user will no longer be a validator on the Beacon Chain after this unlock.
  - Full exits are rate limited by the protocol and at the current level of active validators (~520K), would allow 7 validators to exit per epoch. Given an epoch is every 6.4 minutes, that allows a maximum of 1,575 validators to exit per day.
  - \(32\text{ ETH} \times 1,575\text{ validators} = 50,400\text{ ETH}\) of max sell pressure. At a price of US$1,560, this would represent \(~US$80M\) of max sell-pressure per day.

Source: https://notes.ethereum.org/@launchpad/withdrawals-faq#Q-What-is-ShanghaiCapella
Ethereum's Monetary Policy
Staking rewards are inversely correlated to no. of validators

1. Consensus Rewards are rewarded for consensus-related tasks and inversely proportional to the amount of ETH staked i.e. the more ETH staked, the lower the per validator consensus rewards.

2. Execution Rewards are directly proportional to the number of transactions on the network i.e. they are for rewards for transaction execution. The higher the demand for Ethereum’s blockspace, the higher the tip / MEV opportunities will be.

3. Ultimately, this means that if the number of validators drops i.e. they withdraw their ETH, Consensus Rewards will increase to attract other validators.

Current ETH Staking Returns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yearly APR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consensus Rewards</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tips</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEV estimate</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Binance Research, ultrasound.money, Data as of 13 Feb 2023
How does ETH staking look vs other assets?
We could argue that many have been waiting for Shanghai

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price (US$)</th>
<th>Market Cap (US$B)</th>
<th>Staking Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,671.5</td>
<td>204.7</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331.4</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>69.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ETH staking ratio is materially lower than its competitors

- It could be argued that many groups of individuals had been waiting for Shanghai to stake their ETH, as withdrawals will remove the liquidity risk and uncertainty of an previously undefined lock-up period.

- While smart contract risk and other security risks may remain, it is key to many users (whether investors, institutions or individuals) to be able to see withdrawals successfully take place before attempting to stake.

- A wave of new participants who had previously been watching from the sidelines can potentially add a level of buying pressure to ETH, especially if institutional capital can be enticed.
What about institutional buying pressure? The ESG narrative could be a key value proposition

Estimates show that Ethereum’s energy consumption dropped ~99% after The Merge

CoinShares’ latest quarterly fund manager survey shows a record 60% of respondents expressing belief in ETH

Which digital asset do you believe has the most compelling growth outlook?

- ETH: 60%
- BTC: 30%
- SOL: 4%
- None: 3%
- ADA: 3%

Source: Binance Research, CoinShares

Source: Binance Research, ethereum.org
What about ETH supply? Did someone say ultra-sound...?

**ETH has become a deflationary asset post-Merge**

- One of the most significant effects of The Merge was in relation to daily ETH issuance.
- Due to the transition from PoW to PoS, there was no longer any need to pay expensive mining rewards to incentivize miners to provide network security.
- In combination with **EIP-1559**, which implemented a fee burn mechanism into Ethereum’s monetary policy, **ETH supply growth has been reduced from over 3.5% per year to -0.03%**. In fact, ETH has been deflationary since Jan of this year.
- This deflationary nature, in addition to a few other properties of ETH as an asset, has led to the **meme of “ETH = ultra-sound money”**

![ETH supply chart](source: Binance Research, ultrasound.money, Data as of 13 Feb 2023)
Risks
The challenging macro environment has reflected itself in financial markets, which saw consistent repricing through 2022 and continue to remain in a precarious place.

With crypto continuing to trade in correlation with the broader market, the macro environment is an undoubtable short-term risk for ETH and the broader crypto ecosystem.

The balance of risks remains tilted to the downside, but adverse risks have moderated since the October 2022 WEO. On the upside, a stronger boost from pent-up demand in numerous economies or a faster fall in inflation are plausible. On the downside, severe health outcomes in China could hold back the recovery, Russia’s war in Ukraine could escalate, and tighter global financing costs could worsen debt distress. Financial markets could also suddenly reprice in response to adverse inflation news, while further geopolitical fragmentation could hamper economic progress.

### IMF projections predict a challenging year ahead

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023 (Projected)</th>
<th>Historical Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GDP Growth</strong></td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>3.8%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflation</strong></td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>3.5%**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Binance Research, IMF projections

Alt-L1 dominance continues to rise... (1 of 2)
Alternative DeFi ecosystems continue to claw at Ethereum

Ethereum DeFi dominance has been trending down since late 2020

- Since November 2020, Ethereum’s DeFi market dominance is down from ~96% to ~60%.
- In this same time period, BNB Chain is up from 0.1% to 10.2%, while Tron from 2.1% to 10.4%. Avalanche and Solana have also demonstrated growth, while Ethereum scaling solutions, Polygon, Arbitrum and Optimism, also command top-10 positions in terms of DeFi TVL.
- While we continue to see DeFi innovation in competing L1s, particularly BNB Chain, we also have new DeFi-specific chains emerging i.e. Canto and Sei. Ethereum’s position within the DeFi space is definitely something worth monitoring closely.

Source: Binance Research, DeFiLlama, Data as of 14 Feb 2023
Alt-L1 dominance continues to rise... (2 of 2)

The nascent NFT market remains for the taking

While Ethereum NFTs continue to dominate, Solana and Polygon are very much also in the race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All-Time Sales (US$B)</th>
<th>2022 Sales (US$B)</th>
<th>Last 30 days Sales (US$M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethereum</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>859.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronin</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronin</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>114.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solana</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solana</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solana</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- In terms of NFTs, Ethereum continues to be the blockchain of choice for most premium collections. Ronin also has a rich legacy within the ecosystem given the popularity of Axie Infinity.

- Solana and Polygon have emerged as two of the more viable competitors for Ethereum in the longer term. **Polygon has been successful in attracting Web2 giants to NFTs** e.g. Reddit, Starbucks etc.

- **Solana, on the other hand, has its own vibrant community and is often a more affordable place for newer users / artists to experience NFTs.**

Source: Binance Research, Cryptoslam, Data as of 14 Feb 2023
What to look out for?
What is next?
From Zhejiang to Sepolia

Shanghai is expected to launch on Ethereum mainnet in early April

- The Zhejiang Testnet was the first testnet launch of the Shanghai Upgrade on a public Ethereum testnet. The activation was successful and did not encounter any issues.

- The Sepolia Testnet is the next step and a blog post announcing this upgrade is expected to be released on the Ethereum website around Feb 20th.

- The Goerli Testnet will be the final test launch before a Mainnet launch in early April.

- Alongside the testing for Shanghai, developers are also preparing for EIP-4844 (now named the Deneb Upgrade), which will be the next in line. Deneb will enable Proto-Danksharding.
About Binance Research

Binance Research is the research arm of Binance, the world's leading cryptocurrency exchange. The team is committed to delivering objective, independent, and comprehensive analysis and aims to be the thought leader in the crypto space. Our analysts publish insightful thought pieces regularly on topics related but not limited to, the crypto ecosystem, blockchain technologies, and the latest market themes.

About the author

Shivam Sharma

Shivam is currently working for Binance as Macro Researcher. Prior to joining Binance, he worked as an Investment Banking Associate / Analyst at Bank of America on the Debt Capital Markets desk, specializing in European Financial Institutions.

Shivam holds a BSc Economics degree from the London School of Economics & Political Science (“LSE”) and has been involved in the cryptocurrency space since 2017.

https://research.binance.com @BinanceResearch @BinanceResearch
Disclaimers

This material is prepared by Binance Research and is not intended to be relied upon as a forecast or investment advice, and is not a recommendation, offer or solicitation to buy or sell any securities, cryptocurrencies or to adopt any investment strategy. The use of terminology and the views expressed are intended to promote understanding and the responsible development of the sector and should not be interpreted as definitive legal views or those of Binance. The opinions expressed are as of the date shown above and are the opinions of the writer, they may change as subsequent conditions vary. The information and opinions contained in this material are derived from proprietary and non-proprietary sources deemed by Binance Research to be reliable, are not necessarily all-inclusive and are not guaranteed as to accuracy. As such, no warranty of accuracy or reliability is given and no responsibility arising in any other way for errors and omissions (including responsibility to any person by reason of negligence) is accepted by Binance. This material may contain ‘forward looking’ information that is not purely historical in nature. Such information may include, among other things, projections and forecasts. There is no guarantee that any forecasts made will come to pass. Reliance upon information in this material is at the sole discretion of the reader. This material is intended for information purposes only and does not constitute investment advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell in any securities, cryptocurrencies or any investment strategy nor shall any securities or cryptocurrency be offered or sold to any person in any jurisdiction in which an offer, solicitation, purchase or sale would be unlawful under the laws of such jurisdiction. Investment involves risks.